# Bank Risk during the Financial Crisis: Do business models matter?

#### David Marques-Ibanez European Central Bank

(with Simone Manganelli and Yener Altunbas)

The opinions are those of the authors only and do not necessarily refflect the views of the European Central Bank

### Managing risks is core to banks...

- The evaluation management and sharing of risks is one of the core activities of the banking sector:
  - Delegated monitors: better than other institutions at screening and managing risks (Diamond, 1984),
  - Better than markets at handling risks that can not be diversified (Allen and Gale, 1997).

# While managing risks is core to banks...

Forward-looking market-based indicators of bank risk actually...

- o Concentrated prior to the crisis.
- o Improved prior to the crisis.

The period of the crisis revealed the largest materialization of bank risk.



# While managing risks is core to banks...

- There was also a huge variability in the performance of individual banks.
- Basic narratives of underlying causes and dynamics offer conflicting views.
- Can we use this variability to predict bank risk?



### Some history: Indicators of bank risk...

Banks' EDFs

(over 1-year ahead horizon; averages by country and group of countries)



### Aggregate valuation of banks (EUR bill.)





### Tightening and widening of bank risk

#### Figure 1.

Box-plot distribution of individual stock market returns of banks

Figure 1 plots the pre and during crisis cross-sectional distribution of the stock market returns of listed banks operating in the European Union and the United States. Data consists of monthly stock market prices from 2002Q1 to 2009Q4 obtained from Datastream. The charts report the 10%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% quantiles before and after the crisis. The "box plot" consists of a "box" which goes from the first to the third quartile (Q1, Q3). Within the box the thick horizontal line represents the median. The bottom whisker goes from 25% to the 10% quantile, while the top goes from the 75% to 90% quantile of the distribution.



Source: Constructed from Datastream data.



### Accounts of crises drivers vary (Lo, 2011) .....look at crosssection and banking literature.

- Do variability in pre-crisis business models explain bank distress during the crisis?
- Which business models explain bank distress for the different dimensions of bank distress?
- Which business models explain bank distress for the tail of riskier banks?
- Does stock market value creation explain bank distress on top of business models characteristics?

# Model



- Realization of risk during the crisis period (2007Q4-2009Q4),
- Regressors include bank characteristics averaged from the pre-crisis period (2003Q4 to 2007Q3),
- Other control values averaged from the pre-crisis period (2003Q4 to 2007Q3).

### Bank risk data

Variables	Symbol	Source	Description
Panel A: Bank risk			
Financial support	resc	European Commission, central banks, Bank for International Settlements, governmental institutions and Bloomberg.	Binary variable – with a value of 1 if public financial support was received during the crisis period (2007Q4 to 2009Q4) and 0, if otherwise
Systematic risk	risk	Authors' calculation and Datastream	Average of the quarterly non-overlapping beta in a capital asset pricing model calculated for each bank using daily stock market data during the crisis period (2007Q4 to 2009Q4)
Expected default frequency	edf	Moody's KMV	Probability of a bank defaulting within a year during the crisis period (2007Q4 to 2009Q4) calculated by Moodys KMV
Central bank liquidity	bid	European Central Bank	Ratio of total liquidity received from the Eurosystem to total assets * 100 during the crisis-period (2007Q4 to 2009Q4)

# Data

- Global sample of 16 countries. Initial sample includes over 1,100 listed banks from: Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Finland, France, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United State
- Quarterly data: Banks' balance sheet indicators from Bloomberg manually matched to 1) risk, 2) securitization, 3) ownership information.
- Macro variables: from IMF, OECD, World bank and BIS database: competition, Regulation, asset prices.

# Probit estimates of the likelihood of being rescued

#### (only partial results shown)\_

		(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)
	Tier I capital	-0.0448 ***	-0.0699 ***	-0.0743 ***	-0.0781 ***
Capital tructure	-	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.004)	(0.030)
	Undercapitalized		-0.1401 ***	-0.1329 ***	-0.1354 ***
50			(0.021)	(0.016)	(0.031)
q	Size	0.1144 ***	0.1382 ***	0.1337 ***	0.1309 **
e an on		(0.007)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.061)
ictur	Loan to total assets	0.0182 ***	0.0158 ***	0.0149 ***	0.0145 **
stru		(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.006)
sec	Securitization	-0.0408 ***	-0.0348 ***	-0.0352 ***	-0.0584 ***
4		(0.004)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.013)
	Short-term market funding	0.0267 ***	0.0241 ***	0.0236 ***	0.0227 ***
ding		(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.008)
Fune	Deposit funding	-0.0379 ***	-0.0347 ***	-0.0342 ***	-0.0327 ***
		(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.006)
th le	Excessive loan growth	0.1330 ***	0.1302 ***	0.1281 ***	0.1324 **
grow		(0.023)	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.055)
an g nd ir	Non-interest income	-0.0108 ***	-0.0116 ***	-0.0124 ***	-0.0093 **
a L		(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.004)
	Profitability			0.0957 *	0.0433
				(0.058)	(0.214)
	GDP growth				0.8208 ***
					(0.221)
	No. of observations	852	852	852	863
	Pseudo R2	0.0995	0.1113	0.1121	0.1195

### Systematic risk (only partial results shown)

		(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)
	Tier I capital	0.0040	-0.0097	-0.0233 ***	-0.0207 ***
ital ture		(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Cap	Undercapitalized		-0.0811 ***	-0.0733 ***	-0.0740 ***
			(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)
р	Size	0.1039 ***	0.1090 ***	0.1114 ***	0.1041 ***
e an on		(0.031)	(0.032)	(0.033)	(0.036)
ictur izati	Loan to total assets	0.0083 ***	0.0061 ***	0.0058 **	0.0053 **
stru		(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)
sec	Securitization	-0.2073 ***	-0.2076 ***	-0.1885 ***	-0.2055 ***
₹		(0.057)	(0.054)	(0.055)	(0.063)
	Short-term market funding	0.0119 ***	0.0097 ***	0.0102 ***	0.0097 ***
ding		(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Func	Deposit funding	-0.0217 ***	-0.0201 ***	-0.0191 ***	-0.0179 ***
		(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
'th le	Excessive loan growth	0.1560 ***	0.1597 ***	0.1554 ***	0.1597 ***
grow		(0.026)	(0.027)	(0.028)	(0.030)
an g nd ir	Non-interest income	-0.0050 ***	-0.0043 **	-0.0064 ***	-0.0053 **
a		(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
	Profitability			0.1824 ***	0.1705 ***
				(0.049)	(0.049)
	GDP growth				0.2198 **
					(0.110)
	No. of observations	483	483	483	483
	R2	0.4953	0.5172	0.532	0.5352

# Liquidity (only partial results shown)

		(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)
e –	Tier I capital	-0.1771 ***	-0.1814 ***	-0.2978 ***	-0.3308 ***
Capita	Undercapitalized	(0.062)	(0.053) -0.0097 (0.020)	(0.026) -0.0131 (0.016)	(0.043) -0.1115 *** (0.005)
and	Size	-0.2985 ***	-0.2979 ***	-0.5000 ***	-0.5844 ***
Asset structure a securitization	Loan to total assets	(0.025) 0.0779 *** (0.004)	(0.023) 0.0781 *** (0.004)	(0.042) 0.0559 *** (0.001)	(0.042) 0.0695 *** (0.004)
	Securitisation	-0.6003 *** (0.140)	-0.6012 *** (0.143)	-0.4397 *** (0.085)	-0.9080 *** (0.096)
Funding structure	Short-term market funding	0.1485 ***	0.1483 ***	0.1366 ***	0.1403 ***
	Deposit funding	-0.0759 *** (0.014)	-0.0759 *** (0.014)	-0.0621 *** (0.012)	-0.0628 *** (0.017)
Loan growth and income	Excessive loan growth	0.4462 *** (0.006)	0.4453 *** (0.008)	0.6182 *** (0.015)	0.7737 *** (0.022)
	Non-interest income	-0.2356 *** (0.002)	-0.2350 *** (0.001)	-0.2698 *** (0.005)	-0.2574 *** (0.010)
Control variables	Return on assets			2.0872 ***	0.7259
	GDP growth			(0.245)	(0.732) 1.6483 *** (0.487)

### So business models matter, but is the impact the same for all levels of risk?





### **Quantile regression for systematic risk**

		Q10	Q25	Q50	Q75	Q90
Capital structure	Tier I Capital	0.0135 ***	0.0065	0.0049	-0.0082	-0.0047
		(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.017)
	Under-capitalised	-0.0473 ***	-0.0440 ***	-0.0426 **	-0.0728 ***	-0.0579 *
		(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.018)	(0.026)	(0.035)
e	Size	0.1209 ***	0.1141 ***	0.1093 ***	0.1170 **	0.1978 **
tur		(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.038)	(0.057)	(0.084)
Inc	Loan to Total Assets Ratio	0.0004	-0.0033	0.0042	0.0090 **	0.0102
et s		(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.007)
Asse	Securitisation	0.0336	0.0008	-0.1013	-0.1346 *	-0.1829 ***
F		(0.026)	(0.029)	(0.064)	(0.070)	(0.055)
Funding structure	Marketable securities	0.0020	-0.0011	0.0080 ***	0.0132 ***	0.0105
		(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.006)
	Short term deposits	-0.0137 ***	-0.0124 ***	-0.0211 ***	-0.0290 ***	-0.0354 ***
		(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.006)
Income	Excessive Loan Growth	0.0561 **	0.0803 ***	0.1456 ***	0.1633 ***	0.0899
		(0.022)	(0.024)	(0.033)	(0.051)	(0.079)
	Non-interest income to Total Incom	0.0004	-0.0010	-0.0030	-0.0053	-0.0001
, ,		(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.004)
	Intercept	-1.1578 ***	-0.7387 ***	-1.3841 ***	-1.2859 ***	-1.2710 *
		(0.214)	(0.232)	(0.317)	(0.449)	(0.734)



# Overall

- Partly in line with Basle III:
  - Raising the core capital levels of institutions, in particular of undercapitalized ones.
  - **Reducing the cyclicality** of credit provided by banks and increasing the capital charges for short-term market funding.
  - Aggressive loan growth/ capital charges.
- Intensify supervisory interference.
  - Business models/divergence in the realization of risk across institutions during the crisis, would imply that a **better supervisory understanding** of bank incentives in real time (i.e. before they materialize)
  - Also by those banks experiencing rapid increases in their stock market valuations.

The answer is no...







### **Prev Lit: huge**

- Prior to the crisis a number of studies focused on individual aspects likely to affect bank risk:
  - Capital (Wheelock and Wilson 2000,
  - Funding sources (Demirgüc-Kunt and Huizinga, 2010),
  - Securitization and connections with financial markets (Boot and Thakor, 2009, Keys et al., 2008, Mian and Sufi, 2009),
  - Corporate governance (Laeven and Levine, 2008), diversification (Stirohl, 2009).

#### **Funding structure**

- Banks increased their dependence on financial markets for funding at relatively low costs:
  - Financial markets investors were expected to provide more *market discipline* (Calomiris and Kahn, 1991). Outsource/Free riding,
  - "Dark side" of wholesale funding: noisy signals could lead to *liquidation* of solvent institutions (Huang and Ratnovski, 2011)